1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	ΙA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE GOOGLE INC. GMAIL LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO Corley v. Google, Inc., No. 16-CV-00473-NC

Case No. 13-MD-02430-LHK

RELATED CASE ORDER (CORLEY)

On February 1, 2016, United States Magistrate Judge Nathanael Cousins of the Northern District of California referred Corley v. Google, Inc., No. 16-CV-00473-NC ("Corley") to the undersigned judge for a determination on whether *Corley* should be related to *In re Google Inc.* Gmail Litigation, No. 13-MD-02430-LHK ("In re Google"). See ECF No. 193 in the In re Google docket. Corley and In re Google concern substantially the same parties and events, and it appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different judges. See Civil L.R. 3-12(a). Accordingly, the Court determines that *Corley* should be related to *In re Google*.

Corley shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge. All future filings are to bear the initials "LHK." Unless otherwise ordered, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and

Case No. 13-MD-02430-LHK RELATED CASE ORDER (CORLEY)

Case 5:16-cv-00473-LHK Document 14 Filed 02/11/16 Page 2 of 2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

Northern District of California United States District Court

must be renoticed by the moving party before the newly assigned judge; any deadlines set by the
ADR Local Rules remain in effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order
continue to govern, except dates for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly
assigned judge. A copy of this Order shall be filed in the <i>Corley</i> docket.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 11, 2016

LUCY H. KOH United States District Judge